

hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Services on the unit of the Services on the unit of the Services on the unit of the Services o Services on the date set forth below as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commission

And Deposit:

Attorney of Record

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Kelly J. Henrickson, et al.

Serial No.:

09/484,704

Filed:

January 18, 2000

For:

VIRUS ASSAY METHOD

Group Art Unit:

1656

Examiner:

J. Siew

MAR 0 5 2002 TECH CENTER 1600/290

RECEIVED

Commissioner For Patents Washington, D.C.

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

In the matter of the above-identified case and in response to the August 15, 2001 Office Action, Applicants respectfully request that the following amendments and remarks be entered.

In the Claims:

- A method of detecting the presence 34. (Amended) of a target nucleic axid in a biological sample comprising the steps of
- isolating Aucleic acid from a biological sample,
- exposing the nucleic acid or cDNA created from the nucleic acid to at least one primer pair, comprising a 5' and a 3' primer, specific for the target

٠J

Sub Cont

nucleic acid under conditions suitable for nucleic acid amplification and wherein the 5' and 3' primers are of unequal concentration, wherein a double-stranded amplification product is formed if the sample contains the target nucleic acid and

(c) determining whether the amplification product is present by exposing the step (b) products to protein-linked oligonucleotide probes under conditions suitable for hybridization between complementary nucleic acid sequences and examining the probes for the presence of a hybridization product, wherein the oligonucleotide probe is of a sequence identical to a the target nucleic acid.

Amendments in the Title:

Please delete the current title and insert the following title: -- METHOD OF DETECTION OF VIRUS INFECTION--.

SUB Ca

REMARKS

The August 18, 2001 Office Action has requested a new title, rejected all claims under double patenting, and rejected all claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In light of the amendments above and the arguments below, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration.